

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/087,464	CHISHTI ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	N. M. Minnifield	1645	

**All Participants:**

(1) N. M. Minnifield.

(2) MaryDilys S. Anderson, 52560.

**Date of Interview:** 14 June 2004

**Type of Interview:**

☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No  
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Time:** 9:30 am

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:  
*none*

Claims discussed:  
*none*

Prior art documents discussed:  
*none*

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**  
*Applicants' representative indicated that no amendment was filed in response to the Office Action mailed September 26, 2003. This application is abandoned in favor of filing a CIP application (10/808727).*


  

**Part III.**

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
**N. M. MINNIFIELD**  
**PRIMARY EXAMINER**

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)